## Exhibit 3

	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
3	
	Civil Action No. 3:12-cv-04947-MAS-LHG
4	x
5	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION,
	an unincorporated association; NATIONAL
6	BASKETBALL ASSOCIATION, a joint venture;
	NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE, an unincorporated
7	association; NATIONAL HOCKEY LEAGUE, an
	unincorporated association; and OFFICE OF
8	THE COMMISSIONER OF BASEBALL, an
	unincorporated association, doing business
9	as MAJOR LEAGUE BASEBALL,
10	Plaintiffs,
11	-against-
12	
	State of New Jersey; DAVID L. REBUCK,
13	
	Gaming Enforcement and Assistant Attorney
14	
	FRANK ZANZUCCKI, Executive Director of
15	the New Jersey Racing Commission,
16	Defendants.
17	
18	200 Park Avenue
	New York, New York
19	New IOIR, New IOIR
_ •	November 12, 2012
20	12:12 p.m.
21	12.12 p.m.
	DEPOSITION OF
22	DEFOSITION OF
	ROGER S. GOODELL
23	ROGER 5. GOODELL
24	
25	

Page 9 1 - R. GOODELL -2 Α. Of the NFL, no. 3 How about education, Commissioner? 0. 4 Do you have any responsibilities concerning 5 the education of players and staff and referees on the antigambling policies of the 6 7 NFL? 8 Α. Yes. Our staff goes and meets with 9 each of those individuals or groups on an 10 annual basis to make sure they understand the 11 policy and make sure that they understand the 12 policy and that they abide by it. 13 Q. Is that the same staff as the 14 security staff? 15 Α. Primarily. 16 And, Commissioner, are you 17 responsible ultimately for any sanctions that are levied against someone for a violation of 18 19 the NFL's antigambling policies? 20 Α. I would be, yes. 21 What duties, if any, do you have, 22 Commissioner, concerning the policies of the 23 NFL for advertising relationships with 24 casinos? 25 I'm sorry, I'm not sure I Α.

Page 14 1 - R. GOODELL -2 prevent game-fixing? I believe they're similar to what I 3 Α. described in London. 4 5 Okay. And is your answer the same in terms of whether there is anything harmful 6 7 to the NFL's reputation that results from playing a game in a country that permits 8 9 sports wagering? 10 Again, we have to play by the rules 11 that are established and the laws. 12 My question, Commissioner, is: Ιn 13 your view, does that cause any harm to the 14 reputation of the NFL to have a game played in 15 a country that permits sports wagering? 16 Our reputation where? 17 Reputation in the United States. Q. 18 I don't think the people in the Α. 19 United States are aware of those laws. 20 How about reputation in Q. Okay. 21 Canada? 22 Α. I'm not sure. A lot of our fans in 23 the United States are aware of the laws in 24 Canada. 25 How about Canadians, though? 0.

Page 15 1 - R. GOODELL -2 What about Canadians? Α. 3 0. Do you think it's harmful to the 4 NFL's reputation that it plays a game in a 5 venue that permits sports wagering? Well, again, it's their country. 6 Ι 7 think they're used to those laws and they understand what the laws are. 8 Okay. Commissioner, I'd like then 9 Q. 10 to show you what's been previously marked as 11 NFL 35. This is a new one, I'm sorry. 12 (Exhibit 35: Declaration of Roger 13 Goodell, was marked for identification.) 14 BY MR. WEGNER (continuing): 15 Commissioner, have you had a chance 16 to look at the document which we have marked 17 as NFL 35? 18 Α. Sitting here? 19 Yes, sir. Q. 20 Α. Yes, I see that. 21 Okay. When was the last time you 0. 22 saw that document? 23 I looked at at least the top few Α. 24 pages last week. 25 Did you draft that document Q.

Page 24 1 - R. GOODELL -2 I don't know the specifics behind Α. 3 it. 4 Q. All right. So the three that we've 5 identified, Commissioner, they either didn't or you don't know if they involved 6 7 game-fixing. Have there been any incidences 8 that you're aware of where the NFL's games 9 were violated by game-fixing resulting from 10 gambling? 11 Those three incidents were 12 involving violations of our policy in 13 association with gambling. And the reason we 14 have those is to make sure that game-fixing 15 doesn't occur. They're preventative, they're 16 to avoid this. That's a serious threat to our 17 game and to the integrity of our game. 18 What I'm trying to establish, Q. 19 Commissioner, is I think--and I'll ask you if 20 this is true--that the NFL has not had a 21 recorded incident of game-fixing as a result 22 of gambling. Is that true? 23 I don't know. Α. 24 Q. Can you think of any incidents, as 25 the Commissioner of the NFL, where there has

Page 25 1 - R. GOODELL -2 been game-fixing involved in an NFL game? MR. MISHKIN: It's been asked and 3 4 answered. You can answer it again. 5 I can't think of a specific 6 incident off the top of my head. 7 Is it fair to say that would be Q. 8 sufficiently significant that you would remember it if one had occurred? 9 10 MR. MISHKIN: I object to the form 11 of the question. 12 Again, I've been involved with the 13 NFL for 30 years, 30-plus years. There's a 14 history that the NFL goes over 90 years now, 15 so there's a long history of the NFL prior to 16 my involvement. I don't -- I can't speak to 17 all those issues. 18 But as you sit here today, you Q. 19 can't recall any incident where there was 20 game-fixing in an NFL game? 21 MR. MISHKIN: Asked and answered. 22 Α. I've given you my best answer. I'm 23 sorry if that's not good enough. 24 No, it's not that it's not good Q. 25 enough, Commissioner. But the answer, I take

Page 26 1 - R. GOODELL -2 it then, is you can't recall any? 3 That's correct. Α. 4 Okay. Would you attribute the Q. 5 apparent lack of any game-fixing in the NFL because you can't remember any instances of it 6 7 to the NFL's antigambling policies? 8 I think that's clearly a very Α. strong factor, yes. 9 10 Any other factors? 11 I think that's the most important. Α. 12 Okay. Commissioner, looking at the Q. 13 same exhibit which is NFL 35, could you please 14 look at paragraph 4 also on page 2. And feel 15 free to read the whole paragraph, but I'm 16 going to draw your attention to the end of 17 that paragraph. 18 Α. Okay. 19 Okay. And specifically, Q. 20 Commissioner, look at the part of the 21 paragraph where it states that: 22 "The NFL owners and players have 23 worked diligently since the League's 24 inception nearly 90 years ago to protect 25 the NFL's integrity and maintain the

Page 28 1 - R. GOODELL -2 Q. Okay. Commissioner, the NFL's 3 antigambling policies apply to both legal and 4 illegal gambling, correct? 5 Yes. I mean, the policies are 6 clear. You have a copy of the policies. 7 Right. Commissioner, are you aware Q. 8 of the estimates that are in the public domain 9 that illegal sports gambling in the United 10 States is between an 80 and \$380 billion-a-11 year market? 12 Α. I've read numbers before. I can't 13 recall the specific numbers, but I know 14 they're big numbers. 15 They were in the range of perhaps Q. 16 80 to \$380 billion a year? 17 Α. Is that a question? 18 Q. Yes, sir. 19 I don't recall the specific number, Α. 20 as I said before. 21 But they're very large numbers? Q. 22 Α. Yes. As I said, yes. 23 Would you agree that they're 0. 24 billions of dollars? 25 MR. MISHKIN: He doesn't recall.

Page 29 1 R. GOODELL -2 Well --3 I think it's fair to say it's a Α. 4 billion dollars plus. 5 And, Commissioner, are you aware that over the last 20 years at least, the 6 7 amount of both legal and illegal sports 8 gambling in the United States has increased? 9 MR. MISHKIN: I'm going to object 10 to the question as lacking in foundation. 11 You can answer. 12 Α. What's increased? The amount of 13 dollars? The number of players? 14 The amount of dollars that 0. 15 individuals in the country bet on sports 16 events. I'm not familiar with that but it 17 Α. 18 wouldn't surprise me. 19 If it doesn't surprise you, Okay. Q. 20 Commissioner, is it true that the NFL has been 21 able to protect the integrity of its games 22 notwithstanding the increase in both legal and 23 illegal sports wagering? 24 Α. Again, because we have very strict 25 rules to protect the integrity of the game and

- R. GOODELL -

we enforce it very strictly and we work to make sure that the right public policy is in place also.

Q. But today anyway the NFL has been successful in using its policies to prevent incidences that would harm the integrity of the NFL, correct?

MR. MISHKIN: Objection. I think that leaves out part of his answer, so I object to the form of the question.

MR. WEGNER: Actually, I'm not incorporating his answer. I'll ask the question. It's a standalone question.

BY MR. WEGNER (continuing):

Q. So, Commissioner, in the face of an increasing volume of sports wagering in this country, both legal and illegal, the NFL has been successful in protecting the integrity of the NFL by the implementation of its policies and also its policies against gambling, correct?

MR. MISHKIN: Well, it's a lack of foundation. I'm obviously I'm not going to engage in a substantive debate on the

Page 31 1 - R. GOODELL -2 basis of the question but I think, as you 3 asked it, it lacks a foundation. my objection. 4 5 If you'd like the question read 6 back, you can answer it. 7 MR. WEGNER: Would you like it read back? 8 9 (Requested portion read.) 10 Α. It's a constant battle to make sure 11 that we keep our game safe from any kind of 12 outside influences, whether that's gambling, 13 whether that's drugs. We continually modify 14 our policies. We continue to put focus on 15 this because once there is an incident, that's 16 irreparable harm and we want to prevent that 17 from happening. 18 So we make sure that we do 19 everything possible to make sure people 20 understand that this is a violation of a 21 policy that we take very seriously and it 22 should not be violated. 23 But to date, Commissioner, 0. 24 concerning gambling, the NFL has been 25 successful in preventing any incidences

Page 32 1 - R. GOODELL -2 involving gambling that would harm the integrity of the NFL, correct? 3 4 To date, yes. Α. 5 0. Okay. Commissioner, will the NFL continue to implement the same measures that 6 7 it has in the past concerning sports gambling if it is made lawful in New Jersey? 8 9 Α. That's a hypothetical question. 10 It's against the law, isn't it? 11 I said if it's made lawful in New 0. 12 Jersey. 13 Α. That's a hypothetical question. Wе 14 support the law, we think it's the correct 15 law, and we don't believe it should be lawful 16 and it's not. 17 Commissioner, let me ask it this way, then: If it ultimately is lawful to have 18 19 sports gambling in New Jersey, does the NFL 20 plan to implement any additional or new 21 procedures to protect the integrity of the 22 NFL? 23 Well, we'd have to take that into Α. consideration if it occurs. We don't believe 24 25 it's going to occur and we don't think it

Page 33 1 - R. GOODELL -2 should occur. 3 As you sit here today, are you 0. 4 having any contingency plans put in place at 5 the NFL in the event it is lawful? No, we don't, because we don't 6 7 believe it will be lawful. It's not now and it won't be in the future. 8 Commissioner, if we could now look 9 Q. 10 at the second part of your statement in the 11 last paragraph of paragraph 4. You mentioned 12 public confidence. Will you take a look at 13 that paragraph, please. 14 MR. MISHKIN: The last sentence of 15 paragraph 4? 16 MR. WEGNER: Yes. 17 Α. This is the same sentence you were 18 pointing to before; is it not? 19 Yes, it is. MR. MISHKIN: 20 Commissioner, has the NFL performed Q. 21 any analysis or studies or focus groups 22 regarding the public's confidence in the 23 Leaque? 24 Α. When you say "public confidence," 25 what do you mean by that?

Page 34 1 - R. GOODELL -2 Q. Has the NFL performed any studies 3 or analysis or focus groups to determine what the public's perception of gambling and the 4 5 NFL is? I don't believe so. 6 Α. 7 Commissioner, again, looking at the Q. 8 same exhibit, NFL 35, in the first sentence 9 here in paragraph 5, you say: 10 "The spread of sports betting 11 including the introduction of sports 12 betting as proposed by the State of New 13 Jersey, threatens to damage irreparably 14 the integrity of and the public 15 confidence in NFL football." 16 Do you see that? 17 Α. I do. 18 Q. On what do you base the statement 19 that the legalization of gambling in New 20 Jersey will threaten to damage irreparably the 21 integrity of the public confidence in NFL 22 football? 23 It's a very strongly held view in Α. 24 the NFL, it has been for decades, that the 25 threat that gambling could occur in the NFL or

Page 35 1 - R. GOODELL -2 fixing of games or that any outcome could be 3 influenced by the outside could be very damaging to the NFL and very difficult to ever 4 5 recover from. That's why we take the positions that we do with our policies and 6 7 education and make sure that people understand 8 that we'll enforce it vigorously. 9 0. Commissioner, other than the 10 concern about the threat, does the NFL have 11 any evidence that the legalization of sports 12 wagering in New Jersey will harm the integrity of the NFL? 13 14 Evidence in what sense? Α. 15 Well, you mentioned it's a threat. Q. 16 Is it fair to say that the threat of harm to 17 the integrity of the NFL is a concern? 18 I'm sorry, could you THE WITNESS: 19 read that back. 20 (Requested portion read.) 21 Α. Of course, yes.

VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY www.veritext.com

the threat, does the NFL have any evidence to

support the assertion that legalizing gambling

in New Jersey will harm the integrity of the

Okay. Other than the concern about

Q.

22

23

24

Page 36 1 - R. GOODELL -2 NFL? 3 The question is any MR. MISHKIN: 4 other evidence? You know, we have 5 testimony. We have a very strongly held view, 6 7 as I said, and other sports have adopted it 8 It's not unique to the NFL. I'm not 9 aware of a major professional sports league 10 that hasn't taken the threat of gambling very 11 seriously and all believe that it's 12 fundamental to what we do, and that a 13 violation of that is very damaging to the game 14 and may be irreparable for a very long period 15 If people lose public confidence in of time. 16 our game, that is incredibly damaging and may 17 be irreparable. 18 Q. So, Commissioner, other than the 19 NFL's concern about the threat that sports 20 wagering may have to the integrity of the NFL, 21 do you have any other evidence to support that 22 contention? 23 MR. MISHKIN: Object to the form of 24 the question. I think I've answered it. I'm not 25 Α.

## - R. GOODELL -

Q. So, Commissioner, other than your, meaning the NFL's, belief and the belief of other leagues that you've articulated here, do you have any other evidence to support the assertion that the legalization of sports wagering in New Jersey will harm the integrity of the NFL?

MR. MISHKIN: Object to the form of the question and it's been asked and answered.

A. As I've repeated to you, we will do everything we can to protect the integrity of the NFL and we monitor that closely, however we can. We do research, we do other facts about the integrity of our game and that's important to us.

And so we will continue to do whatever we can to uphold that and make sure people have confidence in our game but more importantly believe that the NFL's doing the right things.

Q. Commissioner, I'm trying to tie the concern that you've articulated about the threat of the integrity to the NFL to the New

- R. GOODELL -

Jersey gaming statute that's the issue in this case. Other than what you've articulated today which is your concern and the concerns of other leagues about the threat gambling presents to the integrity of the sport, do you have any other evidence to support the assertion that legalizing gambling in New Jersey will harm the integrity of the NFL?

MR. MISHKIN: Asked and answered.

- A. We believe that that is -- we believe that over the years, that this is very clearly a threat to our game and to every other professional sports league that considers themselves credible and that that's why we all have a very strong view towards this position.
- Q. Just to move on, Commissioner, but the NFL, I believe it's your testimony, has not conducted any focus groups, independent analysis, empirical studies to confirm the NFL's concern that the legalization of sports wagering in New Jersey will harm the integrity of the NFL. Is that correct?

MR. MISHKIN: Object to the form of

- R. GOODELL -

the question. You can answer it.

- A. I am not aware of any specific research of New Jersey law.
- Q. Okay. Now, to save some time,
  Commissioner, if you look at the next sentence
  in your declaration that states:

"An increase in State-promoted sports betting would wrongly and unfairly engender suspicion and cynicism toward every on-the-field NFL event that affects the betting line. If gambling is freely permitted on sporting events, normal incidents of the game such as bad snaps, drop passes, turnovers, penalties and play-calling inevitably will fuel speculation, distrust and accusations of point-shaving or game-fixing."

Other than what you've testified to so far, do you have any other evidence to support that statement?

A. I think it's very clear and commonsense that if you interject gambling in a way that would start to question the athletes' ability on the field when they

- R. GOODELL -

perform and something happens on the field, that's now causing people to question the motives. That's not -- our athletes are out playing the best they can. We do not want any outside influence into that. We do not want anyone to perceive there's an outside influence to that. That's why we're opposed to gambling.

Q. Commissioner, do you have any evidence other than what you've testified to so far today to support this statement?

MR. MISHKIN: It's been asked and answered. Commissioner, if there's anything further you can add to that.

- A. I don't have anything further.
- Q. Okay. Commissioner, this concern that individuals speculate about normal incidents of the game like play-calling and that they're concerned that there's point-shaving or game-fixing involved, that exists today, doesn't it?
- A. What do you mean by play calling?

  I'm sorry. People call plays every -- that's what the game of football is.

- R. GOODELL -

- Q. Well, in your view, Commissioner, today is there a concern among the public that certain plays may be called a certain way because there's game-fixing or point-shaving going on?
- A. No. I believe that our fans--and this goes to the public confidence--believe that they are calling plays, to use your term, in the best interests of winning that game.
- Q. Let me just talk about an example or two, Commissioner, and get your view on them. You're familiar that on October 18th, 2012, the game between the San Francisco 49ers and the Seattle Seahawks -- do you recall that game?
  - A. Not off the top of my head, no.
- Q. You've only got 36 teams to keep track of.
  - A. Thirty-two.
  - Q. Thirty-two, okay.
  - Well, in that game--maybe this will refresh your recollection--the 49ers declined a penalty that would have given them a nine point, two possession lead with 43 seconds to

Page 47 1 - R. GOODELL -2 motivated by game-fixing? 3 MR. MISHKIN: Would you read that 4 back again? 5 Are you aware of any accusations by the public that the outcome of that game was 6 7 motivated by game-fixing? Not that they're correct, Commissioner, but just that there 8 9 were accusations. 10 "The public" is a broad term. Ιf Α. 11 you're asking me did somebody out there think 12 that that -- they could have made that 13 accusation, they could have made that 14 accusation. 15 Commissioner, in your view has 16 legal sports gambling in Las Vegas fueled 17 speculation, distrust and accusations of point-shaving or game-fixing based upon normal 18 19 incidents of the game such as bad snaps, 20 dropped passes, turnovers and call playing? 21 I think you just referenced that 22 before, is that people out there will make 23 those accusations because of that influence. 24 One of the reasons that we believe that we are 25 beyond that is because we -- we enforce our

Page 48 1 - R. GOODELL -2 policies very strictly to make sure that 3 people understand that is not permitted in the 4 NFL. So we take that -- that's why we 5 6 keep a distance from gambling and we oppose 7 gambling and we think it's wrong for the game, because that is a threat that we want to make 8 9 sure does not influence the outcome of our 10 games. 11 In your view, then, does the 12 legalization of sports gambling in Las Vegas 13 undermine the public's confidence in the 14 character of the games, the NFL games? 15 We would certainly take the 16 position that we would rather not have it 17 happen, yes. 18 Because in your view, legalized Q. 19 sports gambling in Las Vegas undermines the 20 public's confidence in the character of the 21 games? 22 It creates more gambling, it 23 creates more gamblers, and it creates the more 24

likelihood that people are going to perceive

it as being an influence.

Page 49 1 - R. GOODELL -2 Has the NFL considered having a Q. 3 franchise in Las Vegas? Not when I'm been Commissioner. 4 Α. 5 0. Are you aware if they ever have? 6 Α. I'm not aware of it, no. 7 Commissioner, has legal sports Q. 8 gambling in Canada, in your view, fueled 9 speculation and distrust in the integrity of 10 the NFL games? 11 We only play one game there. 12 I mean, does the existence of Q. 13 gambling in Canada, in your view, harm the 14 integrity of the NFL? 15 Well, if the witness MR. MISHKIN: 16 has a view. Read the question back, 17 please. 18 (Requested portion read.) I haven't looked at it from the 19 Α. 20 Canadian perspective. I look at it from the 21 NFL's perspective. And I think people 22 understand that we have very strong policies 23 and that we protect outside influences from 24 our game. 25 Q. Let me ask you this, then,

Page 51 1 - R. GOODELL -2 integrity of the game. 3 In the last 20 years, has 0. spectatorship at NFL games increased? 4 5 Α. At NFL games? 6 0. Yes. 7 I don't know what our total 8 attendance is versus 20 years ago. Stadium 9 size evolves and changes. 10 Let's just make it ten, 11 Commissioner. Has spectatorship in NFL games 12 increased in the last ten years? 13 Α. It's roughly the same based on 14 stadium sizes. 15 In your view, Commissioner, in the 16 last let's stick with ten years, in your view 17 does the public have confidence in the integrity of the NFL football games? 18 19 Because we've -- yes, I believe Α. 20 they do because we have worked hard to earn 21 that. 22 Q. Let's look at the last sentence in 23 paragraph 5 on page 3 of your declaration 24 which is NFL 35. In that paragraph you -- the 25 declaration states that you were aware that in

- R. GOODELL -

that New Jersey proposes would also greatly increase the likelihood that the allegiance of certain fans will be turned from team players and high-level athletic competition toward an interest first and foremost in winning a bet.

- A. That was not correctly read, just for the record.
- Q. Shall I read it again? Why don't I read it again.
- A. You can read it but that was not correct. It says "will be turned from teams, players," not "team players."
- Q. Okay. Let me withdraw the question and restate it then.

In paragraph 6 on page 3 of your declaration, you state that:

"The new sports gambling scheme that New Jersey proposes would also greatly increase the likelihood that the allegiance of certain fans will be turned from teams, players, and high-level athletic competition, toward an interest first and foremost in winning a bet."

Page 54 1 - R. GOODELL -2 What support do you have for that 3 statement, Commissioner? 4 I think that's common sense. Α. 5 0. And common sense in what way? 6 MR. MISHKIN: Object to the form of 7 the question. 8 I only know one with common sense Α. 9 but --10 Thomas Payne? Q. 11 When you say common sense, connect 12 the dots for me, Commissioner, what do you 13 mean by common sense? 14 If you're making a bet, you're 15 making a bet on a particular outcome, that's 16 what your interest is. Right? 17 And that's the common sense? 0. 18 Α. It may not be commons sense to you 19 but it is to me. 20 No, I'm not questioning that, 21 Commissioner. I'm just trying to understand 22 it. So has the NFL conducted, again, any 23 studies, empirical analysis or focus groups to 24 support this assertion? 25 We don't do studies on common

Page 55 1 - R. GOODELL -2 sense. We make that analysis. We think we 3 have enough experience that we understand this. 4 5 Okay. Commissioner, so that I'm sure I understand this, though, is it the 6 7 NFL's position that if a spectator -- withdraw 8 the question. 9 Is it the NFL's position that a 10 fan, an NFL fan, that bets on an NFL game 11 loses its allegiance to the team that it 12 supports? 13 Α. Well, there's conflicting 14 statements in there. Is your support for 15 the -- what he's betting on or what he's 16 looking for as an outcome? 17 Well, let me break it down. That's Q. fair, Commissioner. Let me break it down. 18 19 So you have a fan, let's say that 20 it is a 49er fan and they've placed a bet on a And is it the NFL's position that a fan 21 22 betting on a game will eliminate that fan's 23 allegiance to their team? 24 Α. Let me state it the way I would 25 tell you.

- R. GOODELL -

Q. Okay.

- A. Because if you're a 49er fan, we want you rooting for the 49ers to win, not win by a certain number of points, not to lose but I win my bet. We want you rooting for that team to win.
- Q. Could I be rooting to have my team win, my 49ers win and also win my bet at the same time?
- A. That's a hypothetical but of course. If you -- if your bet is simply that my team's going to win and you want your team to win, those are consistent.
- Q. Let me ask you this hypothetical then, Commissioner. If given the size of the illegal gambling market in the United States there are a lot of NFL fans that are betting on NFL games, is it your position that those bettors don't have an allegiance to a team?
  - A. I can't answer that question.
- Q. I think we're almost done with your declaration but let's go -- let's do this,

  Commissioner. We've been going for more than an hour. I only have a paragraph or two more

- R. GOODELL -

gambling, thereby allowing casino operators and other sports betting operations to trade unfairly on the NFL's goodwill and image of fairness."

So my question is: What evidence do you have to support the statement that state sponsorship of sports gambling threatens to confuse fans into believing that the NFL supports sports gambling?

A. This is why I was trying to use the example before about licensing and sponsorship. People want to associate themselves with the NFL without paying for it and without having any association because that's how they ambush, that's how they get that association. And this is an example of it.

If you are allowed to have sports gambling at any one of your facilities, whether they be casinos or -- they will say that the NFL -- you can come here and gamble on the NFL. That will appear to the consumer, the average consumer, that we are sponsoring that. That is not in our best interest of the

Page 67 1 - R. GOODELL -2 National Football League. 3 Well, there's nothing that prevents 0. 4 the NFL from making it very clear that it 5 doesn't support gambling, correct? So I have to spend money to now 6 7 tell the consumers exactly what you're trying 8 to get? 9 Q. Well, sports wagering, let's put 10 it, is permitted in Las Vegas, correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Do you have any evidence that 13 consumers believe that the NFL supports sports 14 wagering because it's legal in Las Vegas? 15 We don't have a team there. We are 16 very open about our position with respect to 17 gambling, and we have to work every day to try 18 to do that. If it is now expanded to another 19 state and then potentially other states beyond 20 that, it is very difficult to beat that. 21 But as we sit here today, the NFL 22 has successfully made it clear that even 23 though sports wagering is legal in Las Vegas, 24 the NFL does not support sports wagering, 25 correct?

- R. GOODELL -

- A. I can't say that with every consumer. That's an ongoing battle. That's what we're fighting. We're fighting to make sure people don't see that association. I can't tell you that every consumer in the United States doesn't see it the way you're proposing. There very well may be consumers that believe that. That's why we have to work every day to make sure they understand that is not our position.
- Q. Commissioner, what is your basis for believing that fans do think that State-sponsored sports wagering means that the NFL supports sports wagering?
- A. Again, because you will use that association if it's permissible. If it's the law, you will use that to attract people into your facilities to bet on our games. That will try to drive an association. That's what people do. In the other instance when I was describing the other commercial agreements, that's what they try to do.
- Q. So if I understand the concern, Commissioner, it's that some fans may think